

Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759  
Jennifer R. Murray, WSBA #36983  
Elizabeth A. Adams, WSBA #49175  
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class  
TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC  
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98103  
Telephone: (206) 816-6603  
Facsimile: (206) 319-5450  
Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com  
Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com  
Email: eadams@terrellmarshall.com

[Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

CYNTHIA HARVEY, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENTENE MANAGEMENT  
COMPANY, LLC and COORDINATED  
CARE CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO. 2:18-cv-00012-SMJ

**THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT  
– CLASS ACTION**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Cynthia Harvey (“Plaintiff”) brings this class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3), individually and on behalf of all similarly-situated persons, who were or are Ambetter policyholders from January 11, 2012 to the present, against defendants Centene Management

1 Company, LLC (“Centene”) and Coordinated Care Corporation (“Coordinated  
2 Care”) (together, “Defendants”). Plaintiff’s allegations are based on information  
3 and belief, except for the allegations concerning Plaintiff’s own circumstances.

#### 4 **I. PARTIES**

5 1. Plaintiff Cynthia Harvey is an individual residing in Spokane,  
6 Washington. Ms. Harvey bought Centene’s Ambetter Health Insurance Policy,  
7 Silver Metal type, from its Washington subsidiary Coordinated Care on the  
8 Washington Benefit Health Exchange in December 2016. Ms. Harvey’s Ambetter  
9 policy, for which she paid and continues to pay premiums, went into effect on  
10 January 1, 2017.

11 2. Defendant Centene Management Company, LLC is a Wisconsin  
12 corporation with its principal place of business at 7700 Forsyth Boulevard, St.  
13 Louis, Missouri 63105. Centene is a wholly owned subsidiary of Centene  
14 Corporation, a holding company, itself having no employees, which is the  
15 corporate pinnacle of a set of wholly-owned subsidiaries who, collectively,  
16 constitute one of, and hold themselves out to the public as one of, the nation’s  
17 largest insurers providing coverage through the ACA and which has steadily been  
18 expanding its operations around the country. Centene Management Company, LLC  
19 is corporate entity through which Centene Corporation effectuates the common  
20 policies and practices and conduct of its subsidiaries and through which insurance

1 is offered by the “Centene” entity across the nation. As here relevant, Centene  
2 effectuates, controls and handles the operations of Defendant Coordinated Care so  
3 that Coordinated Care is a shell and alter ego of Centene, and Centene and  
4 Coordinate Care operate so in concert and together in a common enterprise and  
5 through related activities so that the actions of one may be imputed to the other  
6 and/or so that their corporate formality should be disregarded for purposes of  
7 attributing their unlawful conduct to Centene. To all intents and purposes the  
8 activities of Coordinated Care have been abdicated to Centene and the nature by  
9 which Centene is the entity which entirely controls the activities of Coordinated  
10 Care is admitted and set forth in statutory financial statement:

11 ***(w) General Administrative Expenses***

12 The Company has a management services agreement with Centene Management Company, LLC  
13 (CMC). Under the agreement, the Company pays CMC a management fee based on a percentage of  
14 its monthly revenue, for which CMC provides the services necessary to manage the business  
operations of the Company and assumes responsibility for all associated costs. CMC assumes  
responsibility for program planning and development, management information systems, financial  
systems and services, claims administration, provider and enrollee services and records, case  
management, care coordination, utilization and peer review, and quality assurance/quality  
improvement. In addition, under the agreement the Company also pays other direct costs associated  
with the business.

15 As used hereinafter “Centene” shall refer to the joint activities of Centene  
16 Management Company, LLC and Coordinated Care.

17 3. Defendant Coordinated Care is an Indiana corporation with its  
18 principal place of business at 1145 Broadway, Suite 300, Tacoma, Washington  
19 98402. Coordinated Care is licensed to sell health insurance in the State of  
20 Washington. Coordinated Care is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Centene

1 Corporation and operates as the “Centene” presence in the State of Washington,  
2 including offering Ambetter insurance product. According to Centene Corporation,  
3 Coordinated Care manages “our Health Benefit Exchange insurance plan:  
4 Ambetter” in the State of Washington.  
5 <https://www.centene.com/states/washington.html> (last accessed 1/8/18).

## 6 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this proposed class  
8 action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy, exclusive of  
9 interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and at least one member  
10 of the proposed class is a citizen of a state other than Washington, Minnesota, and  
11 Indiana, which are Defendants’ states of citizenship.

12 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (b)  
13 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Plaintiff’s  
14 claims occurred in this judicial district. Venue is also proper under 18 U.S.C.  
15 § 1965(a) because the Defendants transact substantial business in this district.

16 6. This Court has authority to grant the requested declaratory relief  
17 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

18  
19  
20

### III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### A. The “Centene” Business Model

7. The “Centene” entities, as reported in the combined and consolidated Centene Corporation financial statements, earned over \$40 billion in 2016, and their revenues continue to increase, jumping 69% in the first quarter of 2017.

8. As throughout the rest of the country, in the State of Washington, the Centene business model is to target low-income customers who qualify for substantial government subsidies while simultaneously providing coverage well below both what is required by law and what Centene represents to customers.

9. Ambetter policyholders around the nation report strikingly similar experiences: After purchasing an Ambetter insurance plan, they learn that the provider network Centene represented was available to Ambetter policyholders was in material measure, if not largely, fictitious. Members have difficulty finding – and in many cases cannot find – medical providers who will accept Ambetter insurance.

10. Centene misrepresents the number, location, and existence of purported providers by listing physicians, medical groups, and other providers – some of whom have specifically asked to be removed – as participants in their network and by listing nurses and other non-physicians as primary care providers. Defendants have even copied entire physician directories into their purported

1 network lists for some areas, and have, in fact, listed medical students as part of  
2 their primary care provider network.

3 11. Defendants fail to disclose the true limitations of the coverage  
4 provided by its Ambetter policies. Ambetter policyholders learn of the limitations  
5 on available providers only after they commit to the insurance and are locked into  
6 the Ambetter policy. Defendants' sales materials omit the fact that Centene does  
7 not adequately monitor their network of providers. The Ambetter documentation  
8 also fails to disclose that Centene does not consistently provide access to  
9 "medically necessary care on a reasonable basis" without charging for out-of-  
10 network services.

11 12. Defendants also fail to reimburse medical providers' legitimate  
12 claims, routinely citing "insufficient diagnostic" evidence as the reason. As a result  
13 of Centene failing to pay providers for legitimate claims, a large number of  
14 medical providers reject Ambetter insurance, further reducing the provider network  
15 available to Ambetter's members.

16 13. Centene has been sued by medical providers (as well as shareholders)  
17 for failing to fulfill their legal responsibilities, and this lawsuit seeks to compel  
18 redress from Centene for its failure to comply with the law and the terms of its  
19 contracts on behalf of Ambetter policyholders.

1           14. To be clear, Plaintiff and the Class are not challenging the  
2 reasonableness of the rates filed with the Office of the Insurance Commissioner.  
3 Had Centene actually delivered the insurance services for which its filed rates were  
4 approved by the OIC, Plaintiff and the Class would not assert a claim. But Centene  
5 misrepresented and made material omissions regarding the coverage actually  
6 provided by its Ambetter policy, which did not deliver the insurance services for  
7 which the OIC approved its filed rates. Centene therefore breached its insurance  
8 contracts with Plaintiff and the Class by failing to deliver the insurance services  
9 promised and further engaged in unfair and deceptive practices by misrepresenting  
10 and making material omissions regarding the true scope of the Ambetter insurance  
11 policy.

12 **B. The December 2017 Washington State Consent Order**

13           15. Further evidence of Ambetter's wrongful and illegal actions is  
14 captured by the Washington State Office of the Insurance Commissioner's order of  
15 December 12, 2017 requiring Coordinated Care to stop selling the Centene 2018  
16 Ambetter plans. The Insurance Commissioner intervened after receiving over 100  
17 consumer complaints regarding a lack of doctors in the Ambetter policy network  
18 and other deficiencies and after doing its own investigation.

19           16. On December 15, 2017, Coordinated Care entered into a consent order  
20 with the Insurance Commissioner. The order states that "[b]ased upon the number

1 of consumer complaints and information gathered by the Insurance  
2 Commissioner's staff in investigating the consumer complaints, there was  
3 sufficient evidence to indicate that the Company failed to monitor its network of  
4 providers, failed to report its inadequate network to the Insurance Commissioner,  
5 and failed to file a timely alternative access delivery request to ensure that  
6 consumers receive access to healthcare providers.”

7 17. The order also states that Coordinated Care is legally required to  
8 provide access to “medically necessary care on a reasonable basis” without  
9 charging for out-of-network services. The Insurance Commissioner stated that the  
10 order required that Defendants no longer send customers “surprise” bills, including  
11 charges for out-of-network care. The consent order requires Defendants to confirm  
12 that erroneous billing of customers is corrected and provides for ongoing  
13 monitoring.

14 18. The Insurance Commissioner levied a \$1.5 million fine with \$1  
15 million suspended pending no further violations over the next two years.

16 19. Following the order, Centene issued a press release stating that it was  
17 in the process of addressing “known issues in [its] network.”

18 20. Coordinated Care also sent a letter to Plaintiff and the Class  
19 acknowledging that “members may have had difficulty in obtaining health benefits,  
20 care, or were charged more for services than expected.” Letter from Ambetter by



1 Coordinated Care to Ambetter policyholders in Washington (May 17, 2018) (on  
2 file with Plaintiff). The letter further admitted several issues alleged in this  
3 Complaint, including:

4 [Policyholders] needed care and had to seek care from an out-of-  
5 network provider due to problems locating a nearby in-network  
Ambetter provider;

6 [Policyholders] received care from an in-network provider, and feel  
7 that [they] were billed or paid amounts in excess of [their] deductible  
and/or coinsurance.

8 [Policyholders] received emergency care or authorized hospital or  
9 outpatient surgery services, and feel [they] were billed for amounts  
10 in excess of [their] deductible because [they] were seen by an out-of-  
network provider, such as an emergency room doctor,  
anesthesiologist, radiologist or for lab/ pathology services.

11 **C. The “Centene” Entities**

12 21. The “Centene” companies, together as collectively presented to the  
13 public, is or has been the largest Medicaid Managed Care Organization in the  
14 country. It describes itself as a “platform for government-sponsored programs”  
15 serving low-income populations, including some of the nation’s most vulnerable  
16 people. When the ACA Exchanges became operational in 2014, Centene expanded  
17 the operations of the Centene Corporation owned entities by introducing the  
18 Ambetter insurance product, developed specifically for the ACA.

19 22. The Centene coordinated entity insures more than 1 million people  
20 through the ACA’s state-based health insurance exchanges. About 90% of the

1 marketplace enrollees are eligible for subsidies. The federal government pays cost-  
2 sharing subsidies directly to the insurer.

3 23. Centene's profitability in the ACA marketplace is due in large part to  
4 its exploitation of the ACA subsidy program and other government support, while  
5 failing to provide the minimal coverage required.

6 24. On the ACA exchanges, it is expected that a number of customers will  
7 switch in and out of eligibility or will change insurance providers yearly while  
8 shopping for policies. This phenomenon is known as "churn." Consequently, every  
9 year will bring Defendants new patients unfamiliar with the shoddy nature of  
10 Ambetter coverage. "Our game plan was churn. That's it," according to Centene  
11 Corporation's CEO. In addition, some customers will not need to utilize medical  
12 practitioners in any given year. These customers may unwittingly continue to  
13 purchase Ambetter, discovering its inferior coverage only when they have a need  
14 to obtain medical care.

15 25. Ambetter is offered in 15 states. Those states include: Arkansas,  
16 Arizona, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Massachusetts, Mississippi,  
17 Missouri, New Hampshire, Nevada, Ohio, Texas, and Washington.  
18 <https://www.ambetterhealth.com/health-plans/select-your-state.html> (last accessed  
19 1/8/2018).

1           26.   Ambetter “is [Centene’s] suite of health insurance product offerings  
2 for the Health Insurance Marketplace.” The “family” of “Ambetter Health Plans”  
3 are certified as Qualified Health Plan issuers in the Health Insurance Marketplace.”  
4 <https://www.ambetterhealth.com/about-us.html> (last accessed 1/8/2018).

5           27.   The day-to-day operations of the various “Centene” entities are  
6 controlled by and through Centene – down to the details. For example, the  
7 subsidiaries’ web sites each contain language describing Ambetter in substantially  
8 the same language, and often verbatim.

9           28.   On the universal Ambetter web site (as opposed to the state-specific  
10 sites that each subsidiary posts), it is represented that “Our Ambetter products are  
11 offered by Centene Corporation ... on a local level.”  
12 <https://www.ambetterhealth.com/about-us.html> (last accessed 1/8/2018).

13 **D.    The ACA’s Statutory Scheme Governing Health Insurance**

14           29.   The ACA was enacted by the United States Congress in March 2010  
15 for the express purpose of providing affordable health care coverage to all citizens,  
16 regardless of their pre-existing health conditions or other barriers to coverage. 42  
17 U.S.C. §18001, *et seq.* As part of its overhaul of health insurance, the ACA  
18 enacted a series of provisions aimed at ensuring minimum levels of health care  
19 coverage, termed the “Patient’s Bill of Rights.” The requirements include, among  
20 other things, giving patients the right to choose a doctor, the provision of no-cost

1 preventive care, and the ending of pre-existing condition exclusions. 42 U.S.C. §§  
2 300gg-1 - 300gg-19a, [https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-](https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Market-Reforms/Patients-Bill-of-Rights.html)  
3 [Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Market-Reforms/Patients-Bill-of-Rights.html](https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Market-Reforms/Patients-Bill-of-Rights.html) (last  
4 accessed 1/8/2018); *see also* 45 C.F.R. Part 147 (Department of Health and Human  
5 Services implementing regulations for these rights).

6 30. Under the ACA, a Health Insurance Exchange (“HIE”), also known as  
7 the Health Insurance Marketplace (“HIM”), is a platform through which plans that  
8 meet ACA requirements are sold to consumers. 42 U.S.C. § 18031(b). A Qualified  
9 Health Plan (“QHP”), as defined in the ACA, is a major medical health insurance  
10 plan that covers all the mandatory benefits of the ACA and may be sold through a  
11 state HIM. A QHP is also eligible to be purchased with cost-sharing and premium  
12 tax credit subsidies.

13 31. All QHPs offered in the Marketplace must cover 10 categories of  
14 “essential health benefits” with limited cost-sharing, including:

- 15 a. Ambulatory patient services (outpatient care one can get  
16 without being admitted to a hospital);
- 17 b. Emergency services;
- 18 c. Hospitalization (surgery, overnight stays, etc.);
- 19 d. Pregnancy, maternity, and newborn care;
- 20

- e. Mental health and substance use disorder services, including behavioral health treatment;
- f. Prescription drugs;
- g. Rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices (services and devices for people with injuries, disabilities, or chronic conditions);
- h. Laboratory services;
- i. Preventive and wellness services and chronic disease management; and
- j. Pediatric services, including oral and vision care (excluding adult dental and vision).

42 U.S.C. § 18022; 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-13.

32. These “essential health benefits” – including their limitations on “cost sharing” (deductibles, coinsurance, copayments, and similar charges) – are minimum requirements for all Marketplace plans. 42 U.S.C. § 18022.

#### **E. Other ACA Requirements and Prohibitions**

33. To help ensure that plans offered on the ACA marketplaces serve the needs of enrollees, the ACA established a national standard for network adequacy. 42 U.S.C. § 18031(c)(1)(B); 45 C.F.R. § 147.200(a)(2)(i)(K). Marketplace plans must maintain “a network that is sufficient in number and types of providers” so

1 that “all services will be accessible without unreasonable delay,” and insurers are  
2 required to disclose their provider directories to the marketplace for online  
3 publication. 45 C.F.R. § 156.230(b)(2). In addition, the health law requires  
4 marketplace plans to include within their networks a sufficient number and  
5 geographic distribution of “essential community providers” that serve  
6 predominantly low-income, medically-underserved individuals. 42 U.S.C.  
7 § 18031(c)(1)(C); 45 C.F.R. § 156.235.

8 34. A health insurance issuer offering individual health insurance  
9 coverage must also provide a current and accurate summary of benefits and  
10 coverage to individuals covered under the policy upon receiving an application for  
11 any health insurance policy. The required summary must provide, among other  
12 things:

- 13 a. A description of the coverage, including cost sharing, for each  
14 category of benefits identified by the Secretary in guidance;
- 15 b. The exceptions, reductions, and limitations of the coverage;
- 16 c. Coverage examples, in accordance with the rules of this section;
- 17 d. An internet address with a list of providers; and
- 18 e. An internet address providing information about prescription  
19 drug coverage.

20 45 C.F.R. § 147.200(a)(2).

1           35. The ACA does not displace state laws that impose stricter  
2 requirements on health care service plans than those imposed by the ACA, and it  
3 expressly preserves state laws that offer additional consumer protections that do  
4 not “prevent the application” of any ACA requirement.

5 **F. State Law Applicable to ACA Insurance Plans**

6           36. Most states – including the State of Washington – have laws  
7 prohibiting deceptive marketing of insurance plans and failing to provide adequate  
8 insurance benefits.

9 Washington ACA Health Plan Requirements and Prohibitions

10           37. Washington State law requires that insurers’ health plan networks  
11 meet additional state requirements, including providing “a comprehensive range of  
12 primary, specialty, institutional, and ancillary services” that “are readily available”  
13 to health plan enrollees. WAC 284-170-200(1); *see also* WAC 284-170-270. This  
14 includes ensuring that each provider network includes a sufficient number of  
15 certain types of medical professionals, such as women’s health care practitioners  
16 (RCW 48.42.100), tribal health care providers (WAC 284-170-200(9)), primary  
17 care doctors (WAC 284-170-200(1)), and mental health providers (WAC 284-170-  
18 200(11)). Washington law also requires that insurers’ plan networks maintain  
19 sufficient numbers of each type of provider to meet anticipated consumer needs.  
20 WAC 284-170-200(4).

1           38. In addition, members must have adequate choice among health care  
2 providers, including those providers which must be included in the network by  
3 law. WAC 284-170-200(2).

4           39. Washington law requires disclosure of any restrictions or limitations  
5 on access to network providers and requires that the provider directory must be  
6 updated at least monthly. WAC 284-170-200(8); WAC 284-170-260.

7           40. Washington law prohibits any false or deceptive advertising of health  
8 insurance plans, as well as the misrepresentation of insurance policy provisions.  
9 RCW 48.44.110, RCW 48.44.120, WAC 284-30-350.

10          41. The Washington Consumer Protection Act (“CPA”) also generally  
11 prohibits unfair or deceptive conduct in trade or commerce. RCW 19.86.010.  
12 Persons injured in their business or property have a private right of action under  
13 the CPA. RCW 19.86.090.

14 **G. Defendants’ Coverage is Less than What is Marketed in its Plans**

15          42. Defendants describe Ambetter as a Qualified Health Plan as defined in  
16 the ACA, which requires that the plan cover all of the ACA’s mandatory benefits.  
17 Defendants specifically represent to prospective and existing customers that  
18 “Ambetter Health Plans are certified as Qualified Health Plan issuers in the Health  
19 Insurance Marketplace” and represent that the plan complies with the requirements  
20



1 of the ACA. <https://www.ambetterhealth.com/about-us.html> (last accessed  
2 1/8/2018).

3 43. Defendants market to prospective customers that “no matter which  
4 Ambetter plan you choose, you can always count on access to high quality,  
5 comprehensive care that delivers services, support and all of your Essential Health  
6 Benefits.” Of the three Ambetter plans that are offered – Bronze, Silver and Gold –  
7 Defendants assure potential customers that “the only difference between these  
8 plans is how much premium you’ll pay each month and how much you’ll pay for  
9 certain medical services.”

10 44. Defendants state that Ambetter provides “Complete medical coverage  
11 that meets your medical needs and contains all of the Essential Health Benefits.”  
12 Defendants provided details of these purported benefits and coverage in brochures  
13 made available to the public on their websites. Defendants assure the public in  
14 those materials that the promised coverage will be provided to customers.

15 45. Defendants also describe their “Provider Network Design” in  
16 advertising Ambetter on the website they dedicate to the plan. Specifically,  
17 Defendants state in their marketing material:

18 The Ambetter network includes healthcare providers to deliver all of  
19 the services that the Affordable Care Act describes as Essential  
Health Benefits. These include:

20 Preventive care

1 Hospitalization coverage

2 Emergency services

3 And more (refer to your Evidence of Coverage (EOC) for the full list  
4 of benefits)

5 To accomplish these goals, Ambetter contracts with a full range of  
6 practitioners and providers such as:

7 Primary care doctors

8 Behavioral health practitioners

9 Specialty physicians, such as cardiologists, neurologists, etc.

10 Providers, including hospitals, pharmacies, medical equipment  
11 companies, etc.

12 Ambetter makes sure practitioners and providers of all types are  
13 available within a certain geographic mileage or driving time from  
14 each of our members' homes to ensure you receive quality care in a  
15 timely manner.

16 Ambetter contracts with providers who accept our contract terms,  
17 meet our credentialing criteria, and agree to our reimbursement  
18 terms. We regularly review the provider network and make decisions  
19 about which providers remain in the network and if additional  
20 providers are needed, based on relevant factors that could include:

The availability of certain types of practitioners or hospitals in your  
area.

The ability of practitioners to meet our credentialing criteria,  
including a valid license to practice, applicable education and  
training, appropriate work history, etc.

Assessment of facilities such as hospitals, to ensure they are  
appropriately licensed and accredited.

Monitoring of the quality of care and service provided by individual  
practitioners and providers, which includes complaints from  
members and patient safety concerns.

1 <https://www.ambetterhealth.com/find-a-provider/provider-network->  
2 [design.html](https://www.ambetterhealth.com/find-a-provider/provider-network-design.html) (last accessed 1/8/2018).

3 46. Defendants advertise that potential customers are able to use  
4 Defendants' websites to see the providers they represent as being in their provider  
5 network. Specifically, Defendants' websites offered, and continue to offer, a  
6 feature allowing potential enrollees to search Defendants' networks of providers.  
7 This feature is available to all potential Ambetter customers across the country. *See*  
8 <https://providersearch.ambetterhealth.com/> (last accessed 1/8/2018).

9 47. Defendants appear to have copied contact information as to various  
10 physicians from lists or medical directories and listed those providers as being part  
11 of their network even though those providers were not actually part of the provider  
12 network for Ambetter. In some areas, Defendants have simply copied into their  
13 purported network an entire physician directory. In some cases, Defendants have  
14 even listed the cellular telephone number of physicians who were not in the  
15 Ambetter network. In fact, Defendants have listed medical students, nurses, and  
16 other non-physicians in their list of in-network primary care providers.

17 48. Defendants' provider network was and is so limited that holders of  
18 Ambetter policies would have to travel long distances to see a medical provider, if  
19 one legitimately within Defendants' network could be found at all.

1           49. Defendants' online brochures and other materials available to  
2 prospective members further represent that members' grievances will be diligently  
3 documented by Defendants and promptly addressed.

4           50. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") conducted  
5 an audit of Centene's Medicare operations from May 16, 2016 through May 27,  
6 2016. CMS auditors reported that (1) Centene failed to comply with Medicare  
7 requirements related to Part D formulary and benefit administration and coverage  
8 determinations, appeals, and grievances, and that (2) Centene's failures were  
9 systemic and adversely affected enrollees. According to CMS, the enrollees  
10 experienced delayed or denied access to covered benefits, increased out-of-pocket  
11 costs, and/or inadequate grievance or appeal rights. CMS Report, January 12,  
12 2017. [https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Compliance-and-Audits/Part-C-and-Part-D-](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Compliance-and-Audits/Part-C-and-Part-D-Compliance-and-Audits/Downloads/Centene_Corporation_CMP_1-12-17.pdf)  
13 [Compliance-and-Audits/Downloads/Centene\\_Corporation\\_CMP\\_1-12-17.pdf](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Compliance-and-Audits/Part-C-and-Part-D-Compliance-and-Audits/Downloads/Centene_Corporation_CMP_1-12-17.pdf) (last  
14 accessed 1/8/2018).

15 **H. Defendants' Failure to Pay Claims, Resulting in Even Smaller**  
16 **Networks and Lack of Benefits and Coverage**

17           51. Defendants routinely deny coverage for medical services, claiming  
18 that the provider did not show sufficient diagnostic evidence that the care was  
19 necessary. Centene and a subsidiary were sued in 2016 by a group of providers  
20 who alleged that Defendants wrongfully denied claims of their members that were  
within the scope of the members' Ambetter policies.

1           52. As a result of this practice of denying legitimate claims, many  
2 providers will not accept patients insured by Ambetter, making it even more  
3 difficult for Ambetter members to find in-network providers.

4 **I. Plaintiff's and Class Members' Experiences with Ambetter**

5           53. Plaintiff Harvey viewed the information supplied by Centene and  
6 Coordinated Care through [www.wahealthfinder.org](http://www.wahealthfinder.org) in the last two months of 2016.  
7 Among the information she reviewed were (1) the Summary of Benefits and  
8 Coverage under the heading Ambetter from Coordinated Care Corporation:  
9 Ambetter Balanced Care 10 (2017) + Vision ("Plan Summary"), (2) the  
10 "Ambetter" Balanced Care 10 (2017) Plan Brochure ("Plan Brochure"); and (3) the  
11 "Ambetter" Preventive Services Guide, effective January 1, 2017, which identifies  
12 Centene Corporation on the cover as the copyright holder. After reviewing this  
13 information, Ms. Harvey bought Centene's Ambetter Health Insurance Policy,  
14 Silver Metal type, from its Washington subsidiary Coordinated Care on the  
15 Washington Benefit Health Exchange in December 2016.

16           54. The Plan Brochure represents that Ambetter "provides quality  
17 healthcare solutions" with coverage options that make it "easier to take charge of  
18 your health." It further states that, "By choosing Ambetter from Coordinated Care,  
19 you'll receive affordable, quality healthcare coverage. . . ." The Plan Brochure  
20 also represents that the "Providers listed in the Ambetter from Coordinated Care

1 online directory are in-network.” The Plan Brochure and Plan Summary also  
2 purport to describe generally what services are covered and what are not, but are  
3 misleading by failing to indicate how few in-network providers would be available.  
4 For example, they indicate that emergency room services would be covered,  
5 although out-of-network charges might be incurred for out-of-network providers  
6 working in an otherwise covered emergency room. They fail to disclose, however,  
7 that in the Spokane area, during 2017, they had zero emergency room physicians  
8 who were in-network. Because Defendants failed to disclose that the limitations of  
9 the network coverage actually provided by the Ambetter policy fell far short of  
10 what they represented, Plaintiff Harvey was forced to incur a charge of \$1,544 for  
11 treatment received from an emergency room doctor.

12       55. Centene and Coordinated Care also failed to cover individual  
13 elements of Ms. Harvey’s medical visits because they were not in-network. For  
14 example, Plaintiff Harvey received services from a covered doctor on March 17,  
15 2017, but then received a bill from the lab used by that doctor. Similarly, Plaintiff  
16 Harvey, who has been identified as high risk for colorectal cancer, was advised by  
17 Coordinated Care to get a colonoscopy. Colonoscopies are within the preventive  
18 services required by the ACA to be included in coverage and are identified as  
19 covered in Centene’s Preventive Care brochure. When she got the colonoscopy  
20

1 from a covered doctor, however, her claims for two of the technicians involved in  
2 the procedure were denied.

3 56. Plaintiff Harvey appealed each of the many denials of her claims, and  
4 included the Washington State Office of Insurance Commissioner, Consumer  
5 Advocacy, in her submissions. In many cases, her appeal was ultimately  
6 successful, indicating that the initial denial of her claims was invalid. However, she  
7 was forced to complete the process of appeal, while providers were sending her  
8 bills and deeming her a credit risk. Coordinated Care also made it difficult to  
9 contact the company or obtain information, such as the status of appeals regarding  
10 invalid denials. Typically, Coordinated Care would respond to her messages by  
11 asking her to call, which she did, only to find it would take hours to get through the  
12 phone system to find someone who could help her try to find providers (which  
13 were generally not available) or to accept an appeal of a wrongly denied claim. At  
14 the end of 2017, Plaintiff Harvey's policy automatically renewed for 2018 without  
15 any action on her part, and she had paid and continues to pay monthly premiums  
16 on this policy.

17 57. Other members of the Class have had similar experiences, as admitted  
18 by Defendants in their May 17, 2018 letter to policyholders discussed above. One  
19 Superior Health/Ambetter member attempted to schedule an appointment with  
20 someone listed as a primary care physician on the provider network, only to find

1 out that the person was a nurse practitioner. Another person listed as a physician  
2 provider was a medical student at University of North Texas Medical School.  
3 Defendants may have copied a roster of medical students and posted it on their  
4 website on their provider network page. According to a number of physicians the  
5 member spoke to, providers refuse to accept Ambetter because Superior Health  
6 routinely refuses to pay legitimate claims, often citing insufficient diagnostics as  
7 the reason for the refusal even when all relevant diagnostic information had been  
8 obtained and indicated the reasonableness of the treatment provided.

9 58. Another Ambetter enrollee is a 60-year-old widow with medical  
10 issues. The federal government pays a monthly subsidy of \$662 for her Ambetter  
11 insurance. Despite this substantial government subsidy, she has consistently  
12 encountered difficulties with finding a medical provider willing to accept the  
13 Ambetter plan. She has to drive extraordinary distances to find a provider within  
14 Ambetter's network, an ordeal which can be insurmountable given her medical  
15 condition.

## 16 **J. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

17 59. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of herself and  
18 all others similarly situated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) and  
19 LR 23(i) on behalf of the following class: All persons in the state of Washington  
20 who were insured by Defendants' Ambetter insurance product which was



1 purchased through an ACA HIE from January 11, 2012 to the present (the  
2 “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, Defendants’ employees,  
3 Defendants’ subsidiaries, the Judge(s) to which this case is assigned and the  
4 immediate family of the Judge(s) to which this case is assigned.

5 60. This Class Definition may be amended or modified as warranted by  
6 discovery or other activities in the case hereafter.

7 61. Numerosity: The Class encompasses thousands of individuals, which  
8 is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The Class is  
9 ascertainable from Defendants’ records.

10 62. Typicality: Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the Class,  
11 because Plaintiff and the members of the Class each purchased an Ambetter policy  
12 and were similarly damaged thereby. The members of the Class have also been  
13 damaged as a result of Defendants’ erroneous billing practices. Plaintiff and the  
14 other members of the Class also share the same interest in preventing Defendants  
15 from engaging in such activity in the future.

16 63. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of  
17 the Class. Plaintiff’s interests are coincident with, and not antagonistic to, those of  
18 the other members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and  
19 experienced in class and consumer litigation and have no conflict of interest with  
20 other members of the Class in the maintenance of this class action. Plaintiff has no

1 relationship with Defendants except as a policyholder who entered into contracts  
2 with Defendants. Plaintiff will vigorously pursue the claims of the Class.

3       64. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law:  
4 This case presents many common questions of law and fact that will predominate  
5 over any questions affecting members of the Class only as individuals. The  
6 damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class's members flow from the common  
7 nucleus of operative facts surrounding Defendants' misconduct. The common  
8 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 9           a. Whether Defendants' failure to provide the coverage required  
10           by the ACA violated Washington law as set forth herein;
- 11           b. Whether Defendants breached their contracts with Plaintiff and  
12           the Class by failing to provide the coverage promised and  
13           mandated the contracts through the conduct alleged herein;
- 14           c. Whether Defendants' misrepresentation of their insurance  
15           plans' coverage was an unfair and deceptive business practice;
- 16           d. Whether Defendants or their agents pursued uniform policies  
17           and procedures in their Ambetter policy sales, customer service,  
18           and/or claims processing;
- 19           e. Whether Defendants failed to comply with the terms of the  
20           Ambetter health insurance policies;

1 f. Whether Centene and Coordinated Care operated the latter as a  
2 shell or alter ego such that the law should disregard its separate  
3 corporate identities; and

4 g. Whether Plaintiff and the Class's members are entitled to  
5 monetary damages or injunctive relief and/or other remedies  
6 and, if so, the nature of any such relief.

7 65. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available methods for  
8 the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because joinder of all  
9 members is impracticable. Furthermore, because the damages suffered by  
10 individual class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of  
11 individual litigation makes it impracticable for the members of the Class to  
12 individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Plaintiff believes that  
13 members of the Class, to the extent they are aware of their rights against  
14 Defendants, would be unable to secure counsel to litigate their claims on an  
15 individual basis because of the relatively limited nature of the individual damages,  
16 and that a class action is the only feasible means of recovery for these individuals.  
17 Even if members of the Class could afford such individual litigation, the court  
18 system could not. Individual litigation would pose a high likelihood of inconsistent  
19 and contradictory judgments. Further, individualized litigation would increase the  
20 delay and expense to all parties and to the court system, due to the complex legal

1 and factual issues presented by this dispute. By contrast, the class action procedure  
2 presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single  
3 adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.  
4 This action presents no difficulties in management that would preclude its  
5 maintenance as a class action.

6 66. In the alternative, the Class may be certified because:

- 7 a. the prosecution of separate actions by the individual members  
8 of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying  
9 adjudication with respect to individual members of the Class  
10 that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for  
11 Defendants;
- 12 b. the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of  
13 the Class would create a risk of adjudications with respect to  
14 them which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the  
15 interests of the other members of the Class not parties to the  
16 adjudications, or substantially impair or impede the ability to  
17 protect their interests; and
- 18 c. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally  
19 applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final and  
20 injunctive relief with respect to the Class. In addition, Plaintiff

1 has alleged, and intend to show, that any corporate formalities  
2 between the Defendants should be disregarded.

3 **COUNT I**  
4 **Breach of Contract**  
5 **(Against Defendant Coordinated Care)**

6 67. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth above, as if  
7 fully set forth here verbatim.

8 68. Plaintiff and the members of the Class entered into valid and binding  
9 written contracts with Coordinated Care for the purchase of Ambetter insurance  
10 policies.

11 69. Defendants' policies state that, under the policy, Plaintiff and  
12 members of the Class have the "right to:" (a) "A current list of Network  
13 Providers," (b) "Adequate access to qualified Physicians and Medical Practitioners  
14 and treatment or services regardless of . . . geographic location, health condition,  
15 national origin or religion," and (c) "Access Medically Necessary urgent and  
16 Emergency Services 24 hours a day and seven days a week."

17 70. Defendants' policies further state that, "We and the Member shall  
18 comply with all applicable state and federal laws and regulations in performance of  
19 this Contract."

20 71. For the reasons alleged above, Coordinated Care breached each of  
these provisions of the policies issued to Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

1           72. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have performed all conditions  
2 precedent to the application of the policies.

3           73. Plaintiff and members of the Class suffered damages as a direct and  
4 proximate result of Coordinated Care's breach of contract.

5           74. Every contract contains an implied covenant of good faith and fair  
6 dealing.

7           75. Defendants' conduct, including failing to provide accurate  
8 information regarding their provider networks, failing to provide a sufficient  
9 network of providers, denying valid claims, failing to pay providers for valid  
10 claims, and collecting premiums while failing to provide an adequate network of  
11 providers that included emergency room physicians, labs used by in network  
12 providers and the like, destroyed the rights of Plaintiff and members of the Class to  
13 receive the benefits of their contracts with Coordinated Care.

14           76. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are  
15 entitled to:

16           a. an order requiring Coordinated Care to perform their contracts as they  
17 agreed to do; and

18           b. benefit-of-the-bargain compensatory damages to Plaintiff and the  
19 members of the Class in a sum equivalent to performance of the  
20 contract that places Plaintiff and Class members in the positions they

1 would occupy if the contracts had been fulfilled rather than breached.

2 **COUNT II**  
3 **Unfair Business Practices under RCW §§ 19.86.010, *et seq.***  
4 **(Against All Defendants)**

5 77. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth above, as if  
6 fully set forth here verbatim.

7 78. Plaintiff and the Class members are “persons” within the meaning of  
8 the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW § 19.86.010(1).

9 79. Defendants are “persons” within the meaning of the Washington  
10 Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.010(1), and conduct “trade” and  
11 “commerce” within the meaning of the Washington Consumer Protection Act,  
12 RCW 19.86.010(2).

13 80. Defendants engaged in unfair acts or practices in the conduct of their  
14 business by failing to have sufficient providers within the Ambetter network as  
15 represented, by failing to pay legitimate medical claims on behalf of their insured,  
16 by failing to provide the benefits and coverage represented by Defendants to be  
17 within the plan, by failing to address Plaintiff’s and other Class members’  
18 complaints, by violating Washington state laws and regulations governing the  
19 conduct and operations of health insurers, by violating the ACA, and by omitting  
20 material facts regarding the benefits and coverage of Ambetter policies.

1           81. Defendants further engaged in unfair acts or practices in the conduct  
2 of their business when they continued to engage in unfair practices, despite  
3 numerous complaints from Class members and at least findings by both the  
4 Washington State and the federal government that their systematic practices failed  
5 to meet acceptable standards and harmed enrollees.

6           82. The acts and practices described above are unfair because these acts  
7 or practices (1) have caused substantial financial injury to Plaintiff and Class  
8 members; (2) are not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or  
9 competitors; and (3) are not reasonably avoidable by consumers. The acts and  
10 practices are further unfair because they offend public policy as it has been  
11 established by the ACA and by Washington statutes and regulations, including  
12 RCW 48.44.110 and 48.44.120 and WAC 284-170-200 and 284-170-260.

13           83. Defendants' unfair practices have occurred in their trade or business  
14 and were and are capable of injuring a substantial portion of the public. As such,  
15 Defendants' general course of conduct as alleged herein is injurious to the public  
16 interest, and the acts complained of herein are ongoing and/or have a substantial  
17 likelihood of being repeated.

18           84. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unfair acts or  
19 practices, Plaintiff and Class members suffered injury in fact by paying insurance  
20 premiums but failing to receive benefits, paying out-of-pocket costs for services



1 covered but not provided by the Ambetter plan, and spending time and money  
2 locating and traveling to providers willing to accept the Ambetter plan.

3 85. Plaintiff and Class members are therefore entitled to:

4 a. an order enjoining the conduct complained herein;

5 b. actual damages to Plaintiff and the members of the Class equal to:

6 i. Benefit of the Bargain: a refund of the entire premium for the  
7 purchase of insurance that was not as represented and  
8 contracted for in order to restore Plaintiff and the Class to their  
9 position prior to purchasing the Ambetter policy; and/or

10 ii. Partial Refund: the difference in value between the value of the  
11 policy as represented and contracted for and the value of the  
12 policy as actually accepted and delivered; and/or

13 iii. Out-Of-Pocket Expenses: damages incurred as a result of  
14 having to pay for services that should have been covered by the  
15 Ambetter policy.

16 c. treble damages pursuant to RCW § 19.86.090;

17 d. costs of suit, including reasonable attorney's fees; and

18 e. such other further damages and relief as the Court may deem proper.

19 86. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to additional  
20 equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate, including, but not limited to,

1 disgorgement, for the benefit of the Class members, of all or part of the ill-gotten  
2 profits Defendants received in connection with the policies.

3 **IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 **WHEREFORE** Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the  
5 Class, prays for relief as follows:

6 A. An order certifying this action to proceed as a class action, and  
7 appointing Plaintiff and her counsel to represent the Class;

8 B. An order awarding damages to Plaintiff and the members of the Class,  
9 including, where appropriate, treble damages, exemplary damages, and all other  
10 monetary relief to which Plaintiff and the Class's members are entitled;

11 C. For an order awarding restitutionary disgorgement to Plaintiff and the  
12 Class;

13 D. For an order awarding non-restitutionary disgorgement to Plaintiff and  
14 the Class;

15 E. For a declaration that Defendants have violated applicable state law  
16 and an order requiring Defendants to immediately cease and desist their unlawful,  
17 deceptive, and obstructive practices with respect to the marketing, administration,  
18 and claims processing in connection with the Ambetter health insurance plan;

19 F. For an order awarding attorneys' fees and costs; and

20 G. For such other and further relief as may be just and equitable.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 28th day of November,  
2018.

TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759

Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759

Jennifer R. Murray, WSBA #36983

Elizabeth A. Adams, WSBA #49175

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

936 North 34th Street, Suite 300

Seattle, Washington 98103

Telephone: (206) 816-6603

Facsimile: (206) 319-5450

Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com

Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com

Email: eadams@terrellmarshall.com

Seth Lesser, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

KLAFTER OLSEN & LESSER LLP

Two International Drive, Suite 350

Rye Brook, New York 10514

Telephone: (914) 934-9200

Email: seth@klafterolsen.com

Kurt B. Olsen

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

KLAFTER OLSEN & LESSER LLP

1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 261-3553

Email: ko@klafterolsen.com

1 Robert S. Green  
2 James Robert Noblin  
3 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class  
4 GREEN & NOBLIN, P.C.  
5 2200 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 101  
6 Larkspur, California 94939  
7 Telephone: (415) 477-6700  
8 Email: gnecf@classcounsel.com  
9 Email: jrn@classcounsel.com

10 David Martin  
11 Mark Ravis  
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class  
13 MARK RAVIS & ASSOCIATES  
14 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700  
15 Los Angeles, California 90067  
16 Telephone: (310) 295-4145  
17 Email: dhmartin99@gmail.com  
18 Email: mravis99@gmail.com  
19  
20

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Beth E. Terrell, hereby certify that on November 28, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Maren Roxanne Norton, WSBA #35435  
Attorneys for Defendants  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
600 University Street, Suite 600  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 386-7598  
Facsimile: (206) 386-7500  
Email: mnrnorton@stoel.com

Steven M. Cady, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Brendan V. Sullivan, Jr., *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Andrew McBride  
William Murray  
Attorneys for Defendants  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY, PLLC  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-5321  
Facsimile: (202) 434-5029  
Email: scady@wc.com  
Email: bsullivan@wc.com  
Email: amcbride@wc.com  
Email: bmurray@wc.com

1 DATED this 28th day of November, 2018.

2 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

3 By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759

4 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

6 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300

7 Seattle, Washington 98103

8 Telephone: (206) 816-6603

9 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450

10 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com